	1	
1	CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS Amanda Seabock, Esq., SBN 289900 Prathima Price, Esq., SBN 321378 Dennis Price, Esq., SBN 279082 Mail: 8033 Linda Vista Road, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92111 (858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax	
2		
3		
4		
5	amandas@potterhandy.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	Scott Johnson	Case No.
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	Complaint For Damages And Injunctive Relief For Violations Of: Americans With Disabilities
13	Teleferic Barcelona PA, LLC, a	Of: Americans With Disabilities Act; Unruh Civil Rights Act
14	Teleferic Barcelona PA, LLC , a California Limited Liability Company	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Scott Johnson complains of Teleferic Barcelona PA, LLC, a	
18	California Limited Liability Company; and alleges as follows:	
19		
20	DA DITIEO.	
21	PARTIES:	
22	1. Plaintiff is a California resident with physical disabilities. Plaintiff is a	
23	level C-5 quadriplegic. He cannot walk and also has significant manual	
24	dexterity impairments. He uses a wheelchair for mobility and has a specially	
25	equipped van.	
26	2. Defendant Teleferic Barcelona PA, LLC owned Telefèric Barcelona	
27	located at or about 855 El Camino Real, Palo Alto, California, between March	
28	2021 and May 2021.	
	1	

3. Defendant Teleferic Barcelona PA, LLC owns Telefèric Barcelona

4. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants, their business

capacities, their ownership connection to the property and business, or their

relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained of,

and alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all such Defendants.

Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein is

responsible in some capacity for the events herein alleged, or is a necessary

party for obtaining appropriate relief. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend when

the true names, capacities, connections, and responsibilities of the Defendants

("Restaurant") located at or about 855 El Camino Real, Palo Alto, California,

5

currently.

are ascertained.

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JURISDICTION & VENUE:

- 5. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (a)(4) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.
- 6. Pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction, an attendant and related cause of action, arising from the same nucleus of operative facts and arising out of the same transactions, is also brought under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act, which act expressly incorporates the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 7. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district and that Plaintiff's cause of action arose in this district.

25

26

27

28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:

8. Plaintiff went to the Restaurant in March 2021 and May 2021 (two times) with the intention to avail himself of its goods or services motivated in

23 24

25

28

22

discomfort for the Plaintiff.

18. The defendants have failed to maintain in working and useable

17. The failure to provide accessible facilities created difficulty and

conditions those features required to provide ready access to persons with disabilities.

defendants denied the plaintiff full and equal access.

19. The barriers identified above are easily removed without much

difficulty or expense. They are the types of barriers identified by the Department of Justice as presumably readily achievable to remove and, in fact, these barriers are readily achievable to remove. Moreover, there are numerous alternative accommodations that could be made to provide a greater level of access if complete removal were not achievable.

20. Plaintiff will return to the Restaurant to avail himself of its goods or services and to determine compliance with the disability access laws once it is represented to him that the Restaurant and its facilities are accessible. Plaintiff is currently deterred from doing so because of his knowledge of the existing barriers and his uncertainty about the existence of yet other barriers on the site. If the barriers are not removed, the plaintiff will face unlawful and discriminatory barriers again.

21. Given the obvious and blatant nature of the barriers and violations alleged herein, the plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are other violations and barriers on the site that relate to his disability. Plaintiff will amend the complaint, to provide proper notice regarding the scope of this lawsuit, once he conducts a site inspection. However, please be on notice that the plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. See *Doran v. 7-11*, 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding that once a plaintiff encounters one barrier at a site, he can sue to have all barriers that relate to his disability removed regardless of whether he personally encountered them).

I. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 (On behalf of Plaintiff and against all Defendants.) (42 U.S.C. section 12101, et seq.)

22. Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.

- 23. Under the ADA, it is an act of discrimination to fail to ensure that the privileges, advantages, accommodations, facilities, goods and services of any place of public accommodation is offered on a full and equal basis by anyone who owns, leases, or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Discrimination is defined, inter alia, as follows:
 - a. A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the accommodation would work a fundamental alteration of those services and facilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
 - b. A failure to remove architectural barriers where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). Barriers are defined by reference to the ADA Standards.
 - c. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).
- 24. When a business provides dining surfaces, it must provide accessible dining surfaces.
- 25. Here, accessible dining surfaces have not been provided in conformance with the ADA Standards.
- 26. The Safe Harbor provisions of the 2010 Standards are not applicable here because the conditions challenged in this lawsuit do not comply with the

27. A public accommodation must maintain in operable working condition those features of its facilities and equipment that are required to be readily

II. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL

accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(a).

and ready to be used by the plaintiff is a violation of the law.

28. Here, the failure to ensure that the accessible facilities were available

RIGHTS ACT (On behalf of Plaintiff and against all Defendants.) (Cal. Civ.

Code § 51-53.)

- 29. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint. The Unruh Civil Rights Act ("Unruh Act") guarantees, inter alia, that persons with disabilities are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishment of every kind whatsoever within the jurisdiction of the State of California. Cal. Civ. Code §51(b).
- 30. The Unruh Act provides that a violation of the ADA is a violation of the Unruh Act. Cal. Civ. Code, § 51(f).
- 31. Defendants' acts and omissions, as herein alleged, have violated the Unruh Act by, inter alia, denying, or aiding, or inciting the denial of, Plaintiff's rights to full and equal use of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services offered.
- 32. Because the violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act resulted in difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiff, the defendants are also each responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code § 55.56(a)-(c).)
 - 33. Although the plaintiff encountered frustration and difficulty by facing

1	discriminatory barriers, even manifesting itself with minor and fleeting		
2	physical symptoms, the plaintiff does not value this very modest physical		
3	personal injury greater than the amount of the statutory damages.		
4			
5	PRAYER:		
6	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that this Court award damages and provide		
7	relief as follows:		
8	1. For injunctive relief, compelling Defendants to comply with the		
9	Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act. Note: the		
10	plaintiff is not invoking section 55 of the California Civil Code and is not		
11	seeking injunctive relief under the Disabled Persons Act at all.		
12	2. For equitable nominal damages for violation of the ADA. See		
13	Uzuegbunam v. Preczewski, U.S, 2021 WL 850106 (U.S. Mar. 8, 2021)		
14	and any other equitable relief the Court sees fit to grant.		
15	3. Damages under the Unruh Civil Rights Act, which provides for actual		
16	damages and a statutory minimum of \$4,000 for each offense.		
17	4. Reasonable attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit, pursuant		
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and Cal. Civ. Code §§ 52.		
19	Data J. Il 12 2021 CENTED FOR DICABILITY ACCESS		
20	Dated: July 12, 2021 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS		
21	A . S		
22	By:		
23	Amanda Seabock, Esq. Attorney for plaintiff		
24	Attorney for plaintiff		
25			
26			
27			
28			